

United States Patent and Tracemark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY, DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/036,916	12	2/21/2001	Leonid Beigelman	MBHB00,00-883-K;RPI No.90		
20306	7590	09/26/2003				
			RT & BERGHOFF	EXAMINER		
300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE SUITE 3200 CHICAGO, IL 60606				RAYMOND, RICHARD L		
CHICAGO,	IL 60606			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				1624	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				DATE MAILED: 09/26/2003	7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicat	pplication No. Applicant(s)					
	10/036,9	916	BEIGELMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit				
	l	L. Raymond	1624				
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunicati n appears n the c ver sheet with th correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status 			•				
1) Responsive to communication(s)							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b) ☐ This action is						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in th	ne application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is	• •	onsideration					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	, are withdrawn nom o	onordaran.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to							
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are subject to restri		equirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 Decemb</u>	<u>per 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ ad	ccepted or b) objected	to by the Examiner	·.			
Applicant may not request that any	objection to the drawing(s	s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction f	iled on is: a)□ :	approved b)⊡ disappr	oved by the Examine	er.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a cla	im for foreign priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None o	f:						
1. Certified copies of the prior	ity documents have be	en received.					
2. Certified copies of the prior	ty documents have be	en received in Applicat	tion No				
 3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Interest * See the attached detailed Office ac 	ernational Bureau (PCI	Γ Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim		·		application)			
_a)	language provisional a	pplication has been re	ceived.	apphoadon).			
15) Acknowledgment is made of a clair Attachment(s)	ii ioi doinestic priority (under 35 U.S.C. 99 12	u anu/01 121.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449		4) Interview Summar 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	y (PTO-413) Paper No(Patent Application (PTo				

Application/Control Number: 10/036,916

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Drawings

1. The drawings filed December 21, 2001 have been accepted as formal drawings by the examiner.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1 and 8, drawn to diamides (formula II), classified in class 564, subclass159.
 - II. Claims 2, 9 and 10, drawn to guanidines (formula III), classified in class 564, subclass 240.
 - III. Claims 3 and 19-21, drawn to amides (formula IV), classified in class 564, subclass 197.
 - IV. Claims 4, 30 and 31, drawn to cationic lipids (formula V), classified in class 536, subclass 29.1.
 - V. Claim 5, drawn to cationic lipids (formula VI), classified in class 564, subclass159.
 - VI. Claims 6 and 12-18, drawn to cholesterol compounds (formula VII), classified in class 552, subclass 544.
 - VII. Claims 7, 11, 22 and 23, drawn to cholesterol compounds (formula VIII), classified in class 522, subclass 544.

Application/Control Number: 10/036,916

Art Unit: 1624

VIII. Claims 28 and 29, drawn to cationic lipids (formula IX), classified in class 564, subclass 159.

- 3. Claims 24-26, 32 and 33 have not been grouped as being linking claims (dependent on claims 1-7) and will be examined with the corresponding elected group.
- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions I-VIII are structurally diverse and patentably distinct one from the others.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Claims 1-7 and 28 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the compounds of the working examples. Whichever group is elected, applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.
- 7. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/036,916

Art Unit: 1624

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard L. Raymond whose telephone number is (703) 308-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (9:30AM-8:00PM)).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund J. Shah can be reached on 305-4716. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Richard L. Raymor Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1624

rr

September 25, 2003